	( )	
Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/871,837	ORTOWSKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Edwin A. León	2833
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Edwin A. León.	(3) <u>Glen Brown</u> .	
(2) <u>Tho D. Ta</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>05 June 2002</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: 1.		
Identification of prior art discussed: Sanchez et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,086,415)		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Examiner suggested changes to Claim 1 that would appear to define over the prior art of record subject to further search and consideration</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep checked).	parate record of the substance	e of the interview(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE I STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. reverse side or on attached sheet.	(See MPEP Section 713.04) MONTH FROM THIS INTERV	If a reply to the last Office
Evaminar Nata: Valumust sign this favor values it is as	Who h.	\( \sqrt{'} \)
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signa	iture if required